



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 25

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON, MA 02111

COPY MAILED

JUN 15 2004

In re Application of : OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Daniel P. Silver et al :
Application No. 09/834,778 : ON PETITION
Filed: April 12, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. 20363-011 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed November 14, 2003, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/196,338, filed April 12, 2000.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT.**

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Petitioner has submitted on November 14, 2003, an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/196,338, filed April 12, 2000.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on April 12, 2001, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the prior-filed provisional application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the declaration filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the provisional application in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6).¹ In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of provisional

¹ Note 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.

Application No. 60/196,338 in the declaration filed with the application and supplemented on July 30, 2001, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1330 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to Karen Creasy at (703)305-8859. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1755 for consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) for the benefit of prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/196,338, filed on April 12, 2000.

Karen Creasy

Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy